



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/737,314	12/16/2003	Yogesh B. Gianchandani	UOM 0283 PUSP	5950		
4743	7590	02/28/2008	EXAMINER			
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606				YABUT, DIANE D		
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER				
3734						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
02/28/2008		PAPER				

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/737,314	GIANCHANDANI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DIANE YABUT	3734	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DIANE YABUT. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) PAUL STEPHENS. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Leopold (U.S. Pub. No. 20020173839).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant suggested amendments to distinguish over Leopold regarding the side beams extending along a longitudinal axis in the non-deployed position, which appeared to read over the prior art, and also possible amendments regarding the cross bands being folded and having an involute or switchback pattern within each of the cross-bands. Further consideration and updated search will be required in light of amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Diane Yabut/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3734

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.